

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,427	02/15/2002	Sam M. Jyawook	67,064-001 3582 EXAMINER		
26096	7590 04/12/2004				
	CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			VO, HAI	
SUITE 350	IAPLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009		1771		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/077,427	JYAWOOK ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of this application and the same of the sa	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 15-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied on is a)	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	Quality	HM. COLE	0
	ELIZABET PRIMARY	EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit has been entered and carefully reviewed. However, it does not place the instant claims in condition for allowance. To establish commercial success, Applicant bears the burden of showing that the commercial success is derived from the claimed invention. The commercial success must be shown to be directly derived from the invention claimed, "in a marketplace wherein the consumer is free to choose on the basis of objective principles and it must be shown "that such success is not the result of heavy promotion or advertising, shift in advertising, consumption by purchasers normally tied to applicant or assignee, or other business events extraneous to the merits of the claimed invention." MPEP 716.03(b). The Applicant bears the burden of supporting the contention of nonobviousness by establishing a nexus between the claimed invention and evidence of commercial success. Also, the evidence provided must be commensurate in scope with the claims. Gross sales figures do not show commercial success absent evidence as to market share, or the time period during which the product was sold, or the normally expected sales in the market. Alternatively, to overcome the art rejections, Applicant should provide evidence or affidavit to demonstrate that differences in the processing steps as recited in the claims would lead to differences in the final structure of the product between the present invention and the Hendrix reference.